

Sustainability-related disclosures

M&G (Lux) Investment Funds 1 - M&G (Lux) Sustainable Allocation Fund
549300G7EE7U31UKHL78



Website disclosure provided in accordance with Article 10 of the Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector. Information on the overall sustainability-related impact of the Fund can be found in the Annual Report of the Fund.

Summary

This document summarises the information about this Fund in relation to the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation. It is not marketing material. The information is required by law to help potential investors understand the sustainability related characteristics and/or objectives and risks of this Fund. You are advised to read it in conjunction with other relevant documentation on this Fund so you can make an informed decision about whether to invest.

This Fund has a sustainable investment objective to contribute to a sustainable economy by investing in assets supporting environmental and/or social goals, in particular climate change mitigation.

The Fund investment approach is through flexible asset allocation, implemented by investing in securities of companies or governments that uphold high standards of ESG behaviour. The Fund also maintains a core holding of investments considered to have a positive impact through addressing the world major social and environmental challenges ("Positive Impact Assets"). The Fund typically invests 20-50% of its Net Asset Value in Positive Impact Assets with a minimum of 20% and no maximum exposure. The Fund typically has a lower weighted average carbon intensity than the global equity market ("Positive ESG Outcome").

The Investment Manager expects at least 80% of the Fund to be invested in sustainable investments, in pursuit of the sustainable investment objective. The Fund is not required to favour any specific type of sustainable investment, and may invest in assets supporting any environmental and/or social goal, but will pay particular heed to climate change mitigation by using extra sustainability indicators for climate change mitigation, measuring performance on these characteristics at fund level, i.e. even where an investment has been purchased in pursuit of a social objective.

The Fund will invest at least 20% in sustainable investments with a social objective and at least 30% in sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned to the EU Taxonomy. Subject to those minima, the Fund may flexibly allocate between the different types of sustainable investments based on availability and attractiveness of investment opportunities, whilst keeping the aggregate allocation to sustainable investments with environmental and/or social objectives to a minimum of 80%.

The Fund typically invests 20-50% of its Net Asset Value in positive impact assets with a minimum of 20% and no maximum exposure.

No reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the sustainable investment objective.

Sustainable investments that the Fund intends to make do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective as they are required to pass a series of tests, including:

1. Whether they represent significant exposure to businesses the Investment Manager considers harmful.
2. Principal Adverse Impact indicators considered to render the investment incompatible with sustainable investment (violations of the UN Global Compact Principles or the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, social violations by sovereigns such as being subject to sanctions, negative effects on biodiversity sensitive areas).
3. Other Principal Adverse Impact indicators form part of a materiality assessment to understand whether any exposures are compatible with sustainable investment.

The attainment of the Fund's sustainable investment objective is monitored, with information on performance reported as part of the SFDR periodic reporting. There are a variety of methodologies that can be used depending on the asset class, instrument or sustainable investment objective. The Fund's investment process includes ESG due diligence, which is carried out as a part of fundamental investment research.

The Investment Manager sources information from a range of third party data vendors. Additionally, data used to assess if the Fund attains sustainable investment objective can be sourced from proprietary research and analysis. Where limitations in the methodologies and data have been identified, the Investment Manager seeks to mitigate these through its own assessment, governance and oversight.

Engagement with the issuers is part of the Fund's strategy, with each engagement having an engagement objective. Engagement is undertaken either by the fund managers, analysts, the Stewardship & Sustainability team or a combination of the aforementioned.

No significant harm to the sustainable investment objective

Sustainable investments that the Fund intends to make do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective as they are required to pass a series of tests, including:

1. Whether they represent significant exposure to businesses the Investment Manager considers harmful.
2. Principal Adverse Impact indicators considered to render the investment incompatible with sustainable investment (violations of the UN Global Compact Principles or the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, social violations by sovereigns such as being subject to sanctions, negative effects on biodiversity sensitive areas).
3. Other Principal Adverse Impact indicators form part of a materiality assessment to understand whether any exposures are compatible with sustainable investment.

The Investment Manager's research process includes consideration of Principal Adverse Impact indicators for all investments where data is available (i.e. not just for sustainable investments), which allows the Investment Manager to make informed investment decisions.

The Fund's consideration of Principal Adverse Impact indicators is used as part of understanding the operating practices of the investments purchased by the Fund. Investments held by the Fund are then subject to ongoing monitoring and a quarterly review process.

Further information on the Principal Adverse Impact indicators which are taken into account by the Investment Manager can be found in the Annex to the Investment Manager's website disclosures for the Fund. All investments purchased by the Fund must pass the Investment Manager's good governance tests, and in addition, sustainable investments must also pass tests to confirm they do no significant harm, as described above. These tests embed a consideration of the OECD Guidelines and UN Guiding Principles.

Sustainable investment objective of the financial product

To contribute to a sustainable economy by investing in assets supporting environmental and/or social goals, in particular climate change mitigation.

Investment strategy

The Fund investment approach is through flexible asset allocation, implemented by investing in securities of companies or governments that uphold high standards of ESG behaviour. The Fund also maintains a core holding of investments considered to have a positive impact through addressing the world major social and environmental challenges ("Positive Impact Assets"). The Fund typically invests 20-50% of its Net Asset Value in Positive Impact Assets with a minimum of 20% and no maximum exposure.

Positive Impact Assets are assessed using the Investment Manager's impact assessment methodology ("Impact Methodology") described below.

The Impact Methodology focuses on three criteria:

- Investment Credentials: the quality and durability of the company's business model and its ability to produce sustainable economic returns;
- Intention: the company's purpose as evidenced by the alignment of its mission statement with its corporate strategy and actions; and
- Impact: the scale of the net positive societal impact and the company's progress in addressing social equality challenges.

The outputs of the Impact Methodology enable the Fund to allocate to the following three investment types:

- "Pioneers", whose products or services have or could have a transformational effect on social equality.
- "Enablers", which provide the tools for others to empower social equality.
- "Leaders", which spearhead and mainstream sustainability in industries empowering social equality, but which may have more established profitability than pioneers.

The Investment Manager's engagement with the companies in which the Fund invests is key to the investment approach.

The Fund typically has a lower weighted average carbon intensity than the global equity market ("Positive ESG Outcome").

Sustainability considerations, encompassing ESG factors, are fully integrated into analysis and investment decisions, and play an important role in determining the investment universe and portfolio construction.

In order to identify securities for purchase, the Investment Manager initially reduces the potential investment universe as follows:

1. The exclusions listed in the ESG Criteria are screened out.
2. The Investment Manager then assesses the sustainability credentials of the remaining companies:
 - a. Under the ESG Criteria of the portfolio, the Investment Manager assesses and scores the ESG credentials of the remaining securities on the basis of external ESG ratings and the Investment Manager's assessment. Lower scoring securities which are considered as ESG laggards (companies with MSCI ESG rating B or CCC), as well as companies with MSCI ESG rating BB and governments with MSCI ESG rating B or CCC, unless Investment Manager's in-house ESG assessment takes a different view, are excluded and the Investment Manager favours issuers with better ESG characteristics.
 - b. Positive Impact Assets are assessed using the Impact Methodology.
3. From the narrowed investment universe, the Investment Manager allocates capital between different types of assets in accordance with the process explained above. Within each asset class the Investment Manager then performs further analysis to consider the valuation of these investments and the appropriate time to purchase in consideration of the Fund's financial objective.
4. The Investment Manager favours issuers with lower carbon intensity where this is not detrimental to the pursuit of the investment objective. This process typically results in a portfolio with lower carbon intensity than the global equity market. In constructing a portfolio which favours investments with lower carbon intensity, the Investment Manager may nonetheless invest in investments across the full spectrum of carbon intensity. The Fund's calculation methodology does not include those securities that do not have carbon intensity data respectively, or cash, near cash, some derivatives and some collective investment schemes.

The Investment Manager operates data driven quantitative good governance tests used to consider investments into companies. The Investment Manager excludes investments in securities that are considered as failing the Investment Manager's good governance tests. When assessing good governance practice the Investment Manager will, as a minimum, have regard to matters it sees relevant to the four identified pillars of good governance (sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance).

Proportion of investments

The Investment Manager expects at least 80% of the Fund to be invested in sustainable investments, in pursuit of the sustainable investment objective. The Fund is not required to favour any specific type of sustainable investment, and may invest in assets supporting any environmental and/or social goal, but will pay particular heed to climate change mitigation by using extra sustainability indicators for climate change mitigation, measuring performance on these characteristics at fund level, i.e. even where an investment has been purchased in pursuit of a social objective.

The Fund will invest at least 20% in sustainable investments with a social objective and at least 30% in sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned to the EU Taxonomy. Subject to those minima, the Fund may flexibly allocate between the different types of sustainable investments based on availability and attractiveness of investment opportunities, whilst keeping the aggregate allocation to sustainable investments with environmental and/or social objectives to a minimum of 80%.

The Fund typically invests 20-50% of its Net Asset Value in positive impact assets with a minimum of 20% and no maximum exposure.

The Fund is permitted to use derivatives and collective investment schemes to attain its sustainable investment objective. However, typically most of the sustainable investments are expected to be held directly.

Monitoring of sustainable investment objective

The Fund's exclusions are coded and monitored on a pre and post trade basis as investment restrictions to prevent and detect investments that would not be compliant with the stated exclusions. Incidents are recorded, and resolved through an incident investigation process, and are reported as part of the SFDR periodic reporting.

Monitoring of the sustainable investment objective is undertaken through systems and digital investment platform tools. These are applicable on an ex-ante, ex-post basis in the investment process. Surveillance occurs on an ongoing basis in the investment platform tools

using codified rules to assess compliance with the requirement to invest in sustainable investments in pursuit of the sustainable investment objective.

The Investment Manager is able to review, at portfolio level, compliance with binding commitments and Key Sustainability Indicator (KSI) outputs.

Methodologies

Key Sustainability and Principal Adverse Indicators alongside binding elements of the Fund are used to demonstrate attainment of sustainable investment objective of the Fund. Regarding the underlying metrics, there are a variety of methods used subject to the asset class, instrument or sustainable investment objective:

- binary pass/fail test e.g. exclusion of companies in violation of the United Nations Global Compact principles
- meets or exceeds a specific threshold e.g. more than % of board diversity
- industry certification evidencing sustainability performance e.g. Climate Bond Initiative (CBI) certified bond
- contributes a set % of revenue to a climate, environmental or social cause
- proprietary analysis to form an assessment of the sustainability characteristics e.g. net zero alignment or impact

In the case that particular data points are not available or insufficient, it is expected that the Investment Manager's own proprietary assessment will be sufficient.

For corporates, as part of ESG analysis, a proprietary ESG scorecard is used, including ESG considerations such as:

- Environmental: GHG emissions, air emissions & quality, energy management, water & wastewater management, waste & hazardous materials management, ecological & biodiversity impacts, physical risk, materials sourcing
- Social: occupational health & safety, human rights & community relations, labour practices and human capital, supply chain management, data security & privacy, product governance & safety, customer welfare, access & affordability
- Governance: board quality and effectiveness, ownership, remuneration, accounting & transparency, risk management and business continuity, business ethics and transparency, competitive behaviour.

Only factors material to the relevant industry are assessed for a particular company. Please, note that the list of factors above is indicative and is subject to change as regulatory expectations and market practices evolve.

For securitised assets, there is a separate ABS ESG scorecard, which includes ESG considerations such as:

- Transaction: Structural Features, Documentation/Legal Risks, Counterparty Risks
- Assets: Disclosure on Assets, Environmental Data, Environmental Footprint, Access & Affordability
- Counterparty: Sponsor/Originator Environmental Intents and Targets, Ownership & Control, Employee Engagement, Diversity & Inclusion, Management of the Legal & Regulatory Environment, Data Protection & Security
- Key Material Bespoke issues selected by the analyst as relevant to the transaction, counterparty or asset. Examples of such issues include Energy Management, Product Quality & Safety, Business Resilience.

In addition to the proprietary assessments described above, investment decision-making may also take account of third party ESG ratings, where available. The fund uses MSCI ratings for the purpose of determining lower scoring issuers that are excluded from investment. This is an external ratings system that evaluates company's management of and exposure to financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. MSCI ratings range from CCC to AAA, with ratings below BB (i.e. B, CCC) indicating laggards.

Data sources and processing

A range of data sources is used to monitor the indicators which align to the sustainable investment objective of the Fund. The Investment Manager sources information from a range of third party data vendors including companies such as:

- MSCI
- Bloomberg
- Aladdin Climate
- Net Purpose
- Findox
- ISS

Additionally, data used to assess if the Fund attains its sustainable investment objective can be sourced from proprietary research and analysis.

Data received from third party vendors typically comes from reputable and, in some cases, audited sources, such as annual reports or sustainability reports. The Investment Manager's Investment Data Assurance team works to ensure data quality and monitors for changes in data provided from third party vendors. To ensure that interpretation of data is consistent, benchmarking exercises are performed where appropriate.

Data is primarily processed through our Investment Data Systems (IDS) to initially check volatility and then to ensure data quality assurance processes are applied prior to onward distribution to downstream systems. External and internal proprietary systems and digital platform tools monitor individual data quality and exposure at a fund and security level pre and post trade.

Use of estimated data is limited and subject to controls given the investment, regulatory and client requirements with regards to data quality. In some cases, external providers may utilise their own estimation models. In this case, the Investment Manager typically seeks to also ingest and understand data quality scores. Over time, these methodologies have improved so where they have been assessed as reliable, such external estimations can be utilised. Should estimations be required to be calculated by the Investment Manager, proprietary analysis and tools are used.

Relating specifically to carbon emissions, given the difficulty obtaining Scope 3 emissions for many sectors, they may not be included in all emissions figures. Carbon emissions intensity figures will only incorporate Scope 1 and 2 emissions. Data quality scores are considered for all emissions relied on for investment purposes. Consideration of emissions as part of the assessment of Do No Significant Harm also predominantly relies on Scope 1 and 2 emission data given the data limitations. Estimated data may be used for this assessment where reported data is unavailable.

Limitations to methodologies and data

As mentioned above, sustainable investment objectives are monitored through indicators which are often based on data. ESG information from third party data providers and/or obtained directly from the issuers may be incomplete, inaccurate, stale or unavailable. As a result, there is a risk that the Fund may incorrectly assess an issuer or information that is an input into an indicator. This in turn can result in the incorrect inclusion or exclusion of a company in the portfolio or incorrect outcome for an indicator in the Fund. Incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable ESG data may also act as a methodological limitation to a non-financial investment strategy (such as the application of ESG risk and opportunity characteristics). Where identified, the Investment Manager will seek to mitigate this risk through its own assessment. In the case that particular data points are not available, it is expected that the Investment Manager's own assessment will be sufficient to ensure that the attainment of sustainable investment objective is not materially affected.

Internal methodologies and policies are subject to appropriate governance and oversight, in which limitations are recognised and accepted, with controls applied as appropriate. Exceptions from frameworks are subject to appropriate governance by specialists in the Investment Manager's Stewardship and Sustainability team and/or Governance committees according to the materiality of the departure from policy. Common limitations include: lack of coverage of business involvement screening; lack of key sustainability metrics; divergence of market standards across different geographies.

Where limitations in the methodologies and data have been identified, the Investment Manager seeks to mitigate these through governance and oversight. Whilst, as with financial data, it is impossible to completely eliminate the risk of impact of an external data vendor error, the Investment Manager does conduct its own reviews and challenges where it believes investments have been misclassified. Where the methodologies and/or data are insufficient post mitigation to establish that an investment is a sustainable investment in pursuit of the sustainable investment objective, such investment may not be purchased.

Due diligence

The Fund's investment process includes ESG due diligence, which is carried out as a part of fundamental investment research.

Appropriate consideration of ESG factors is a mandatory objective in the due diligence process for analysts and fund managers. Due diligence includes both screening and quantitative and/or qualitative assessment of ESG risks. The due diligence process leverages the Investment Manager's internal tools, external data and investment-level research.

The section above entitled 'Methodologies' provides greater detail on the approaches and tools utilised to support due diligence.

Engagement policies

Where applicable, as an active fund manager, M&G's preference is to engage rather than divest in order to support and, where possible, accelerate the transition for an issuer on key ESG risks, or on improving their approach to meet customer and stakeholder expectations. Engagements are focused on achieving real world outcomes. M&G engage in line with the PRI definition of engagement, focusing on the underlying substance of engagement, delivery of pre-defined engagement objectives and the relevance for the investment decision.

Engagement is undertaken either by the fund managers, analysts, the Stewardship & Sustainability team or a combination of the aforementioned. Examples of engagement objectives could be:

- Seeking to influence change in behaviour of a company
- Seeking to encourage improved ESG disclosure
- Seeking closer alignment of executive compensation with the sustainability performance of the company

In addition to engagement, active voting is a part of the investment approach. Please refer to the M&G Investments Engagement Policy and M&G Investments Voting Policy for further information.

Attainment of sustainable investment objective

No reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the sustainable investment objective.

Sustainable Investments

Sustainable investment test

A sustainable investment is an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

This can be summarised as three requirements (i) contribute to environmental or social objective; (ii) do no significant harm or "DNSH" and (iii) good governance.

Firms such as the Investment Manager are required to devise their own method for identifying which investments they should treat as sustainable investments. This disclosure summarises the Investment Manager's sustainable investment test.

Sustainable baseline exclusions – DNSH and good governance

All potential sustainable investments are screened against the Sustainable baseline set out in Annex 2 – ESG Criteria – Exclusions and Restrictions. The Sustainable baseline exclusions represent the measurable, quantitative tests that the Investment Manager uses for DNSH and good governance. It is informed by the PAIs set out in Annex 1. For example, PAI 14 "Exposure to controversial weapons" is covered by Controversial Weapons exclusions test in the Sustainable baseline.

Contribution to environmental or social objectives

The remaining potential sustainable investments that pass this first phase of DNSH and good governance tests are then tested for whether they contribute to environmental and/or social objectives. This testing includes a combination of quantitative and qualitative tests based on available data, as well as the application of judgment and opinion by the Investment Manager. These tests may vary over time in response to the market environment and evolving practice. This is a complex topic that varies between investment management firms, and an example is provided below to assist investor understanding.

Further validation of DNSH against PAIs

Completion of the steps above identifies investments as potential sustainable investments but it does not represent the end of the process. The PAIs set out in Annex 1 cover the areas relevant to the DNSH test but they are not all suitable for quantitative tests whereby a threshold can be set below or above which an investment is always considered to fail DNSH. Therefore these are not suitable for systematic screening. Instead, these PAIs are assessed by validating the sustainable investments identified from the previous tests against the full PAI list in Annex 1 to confirm the Investment Manager does not consider such sustainable investments to be doing significant harm.

Example of assessing contribution to environmental or social objectives

A company may release a statement of intent to the market. Whether or not it has done so is quantifiable. That intent may be verifiable using independent validation, eg it may be a Science Based Target giving it a clearly defined path to reduce emissions in line with Paris Agreement goals. Or, it may require a qualitative assessment of its validity by the Investment Manager. That validated intentionality then provides a rationale for considering securities issued by that company to be contributing towards an environmental objective.

The Investment Manager would then perform ongoing assessment of whether or not the company is living up to that statement of intent. The data the company releases to the market about its emissions reduction would provide quantifiable evidence. But, emissions reduction is rarely a smooth year-on-year delivery. Where a company lags behind its targets on a year-on-year basis, the Investment Manager's opinion on its overall progress, and potential to progress, would be relevant to determining whether or not such a company should continue to be considered as contributing to an environmental objective.

ESG Criteria

Certain potential investments are excluded from the investment universe to mitigate potential negative effects on the environment and society and to assist in delivering more sustainable outcomes. This is achieved by applying the "Sustainable Baseline" set out in Annex 2. The restrictions in the Sustainable baseline do not apply to "other investments" purchased for hedging purposes or in connection with cash held for ancillary liquidity.

Due to the application to the ESMA Naming Guidelines, the Fund applies the exclusion criteria for the Paris-Aligned Benchmark (PAB) set out in Annex 3.

The Fund applies stricter thresholds and definitions than described in the Sustainable baseline and Paris-aligned benchmark criteria for the following exclusions:

- 0% threshold for Thermal Coal Extraction, Conventional Oil and Gas Extraction and Unconventional Oil and Gas Extraction
- Carbon Intensive Power Generation: excludes power-utility firms with coal-fired power generation unless the activity is mitigated by a clear and explicit pathway to zero coal-fired generation as evidenced and assessed by the Investment Manager's climate transition assessment and power-utility firms with oil or gas-fired power generation unless it is supported by a clear and explicit pathway to low carbon power generation as evidenced and assessed by the Investment Manager's climate transition assessment.
- 0% threshold for Adult Entertainment (producing, directing, publishing) and Gambling. In addition, the fund excludes companies that derive more than 5% of their revenue from distributing adult entertainment material.
- 5% threshold for distribution of tobacco.
- Controversial weapons definition includes all nuclear weapons.

In addition, the Fund excludes:

- power-utility firms with nuclear based power generation unless the activity is mitigated by a clear and explicit pathway to reducing nuclear based power generation as evidenced and assessed by the Investment Manager's climate transition assessment.
- companies that derive 0% or more of their revenue from the production of alcohol for consumption.
- The Investment Manager assesses and scores the ESG credentials of the securities on the basis of external ESG ratings and the Investment Manager's assessment. Lower scoring securities which are excluded include ESG laggards (companies with MSCI ESG rating B or CCC), as well as companies with MSCI ESG rating BB and governments with MSCI ESG rating B or CCC, unless Investment Manager's in-house ESG assessment takes a different view.

This section and the annexes from Annex 2 onwards outline exclusions and restrictions applied by the Fund. Where exclusions overlap, the strictest restriction will apply.

The Fund has the Towards Sustainability label and also applies the Towards Sustainability Quality Standard Criteria set out in Annex 4.

For investments in actively managed collective investment schemes, the underlying fund must adhere to an ESG strategy that is compatible with the Fund's sustainable investment objective. This alignment is evaluated through a qualitative assessment conducted during due diligence. While the ESG criteria applied by the underlying fund may not be identical to those of the Fund, such as differing exclusion policies, due diligence must confirm that these criteria do not materially deviate from the Fund's criteria. Please note that with regards to the PAB exclusions and exclusions set by the 'Towards Sustainability' label, the fund will only invest in collective investment schemes that adhere to these exclusions.

The Fund takes a thematic approach to identify whether investments make a positive contribution to an environmental or social goals. Climate change mitigation by avoiding and/or reducing greenhouse gases is a key theme as highlighted in the Fund's objective. The Fund also considers other environmental themes such as enabling and implementing a transition to a more circular economy where waste is reduced, reused and/or recycled, and environmental solutions that help prevent and/or reduce damage to the natural environment. The Fund also considers social themes such as improved health and wellbeing and/or access to quality healthcare; increased social and economic inclusion for under-served and/or under-represented individuals or groups; and improved access to quality work and/or education.

Investment Universe Reduction

After applying the exclusions outlined above, the original investment universe is expected to be reduced by a minimum of 20%

ESG Criteria – Approach to Exclusions

Please note that this section does not apply to exclusions listed in Annex 3 - ESMA Naming Guidelines Exclusions.

The exclusions are intended to assist the Investment Manager in mitigating the harm that the Fund can do on the environment or society. There may be occasions where systematic application of the exclusions does not achieve that outcome with sufficient precision. In such circumstances, the Investment Manager can decide to apply one of the following approaches:

1. The Investment Manager may disagree with data or opinions provided by third parties, and decide to categorise an investment differently. For example, data provided from data vendors can be stale and the Investment Manager may have access to more accurate information from researching the relevant company.
2. The Investment Manager may deem that, in exceptional circumstances, revenues arise from excluded activities the company is no longer undertaking (e.g. if the relevant business has been sold), from one-off payments or from extraordinary income streams that are not expected to persist or which arise by operation of law or regulation. In such cases, the Investment Manager would consider why the revenues were being received, their significance and how long exposure is expected to continue to inform its decision on whether to invest or to remain invested.
3. The Investment Manager may take a different view on a specific investment type from its general opinion of the company (or issuer). For example, the Investment Manager may determine it would not buy shares in a power company because of its heavy reliance on coal-fired power plants, but might consider investing in a green bond issued by the same company, where use of the proceeds from that green bond are restricted to specific activities such as building a solar power plant.

Where the Investment Manager is managing a product it has categorised as ESG Enhanced, Sustainable, or Impact, it will consider a set of “Principal Adverse Impact indicators” as part of its investment management and these will inform decisions like those listed above. Where the Investment Manager is granting an exception for a sustainable investment, it will also consider whether the relevant investment is compatible with the principle of “do no significant harm”. Where a product has applied for an ESG label, such as the Towards Sustainability label provided by Febelfin, any requirements of such label shall also inform the decisions above.

Annex 1- PAI Table

Issuer	PAI Indicator	PAI	PAI Metric
Corporate	GHG emissions	1a	Scope 1 GHG emissions
		1b	Scope 2 GHG emissions
		1c	Scope 3 GHG emissions
		1d	Total GHG Emissions
	Carbon footprint	2	Carbon footprint
	GHG intensity of investee companies	3	GHG intensity of investee companies
	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	4	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector
	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	5	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage
	Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	6a	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE A
		6b	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE B
		6c	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE C
		6d	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE D
		6e	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE E
		6f	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE F
		6g	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE G
		6h	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE H
		6i	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE I
		6l	Energy consumption in GWh per million Euro of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - NACE L
	Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas	7	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/ operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas
	Emissions to water	8	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average
	Hazardous waste ratio	9	Tonnes of hazardous waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average
	Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	10	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
	Lack of process and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UNGC principles and OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises	11	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance / complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises.

Sovereigns and Supranationals	Unadjusted gender pay gap	12	Average unadjusted gender pay gap for investee companies
	Board gender diversity	13	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies
	Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons)	14	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons
	GHG intensity	15	GHG intensity of investee countries
	Investee countries subject to social violations	16	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law
Real Estate	Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets	17	Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport, or manufacture of fossil fuels
	Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets	18	Share of investments in energy-inefficient real estate assets
Corporate	Investments in companies without carbon emissions reduction initiatives	Optional	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement
	Investments in companies without workplace accident prevention policies	Optional	Share of investments in investee companies without a workplace accident prevention policy
	Lack of a human rights policy	Optional	Share of investments in entities without a human rights policy
	Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies	Optional	Share of investments in entities without policies EN 22 EN on anti-corruption and anti-bribery consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption

Annex 2 - ESG Criteria – Exclusions and Restrictions

Norms-based exclusions

Norms		
Exclusion Criteria	Planet+ baseline	Sustainable baseline
Good Governance Any investment that is assessed to be in breach of the Investment Manager's good governance tests.	Yes	Yes
UNGC Any company that is assessed to be in breach of the United Nations Global Compact principles on human rights, labour, environment protection and anti-corruption.	Yes	Yes

Sector-based and/or values-based exclusions

Environmental		
Exclusion Criteria	Planet+ baseline	Sustainable baseline
The M&G Investments Thermal Coal Investment Policy is applied; a copy may be found on our website.	Yes	Yes
Fossil fuels exclusions test	Planet+ baseline	Sustainable baseline
Exclusion Criteria		
In addition, companies that derive revenues from the extraction of thermal coal are excluded. Where such additional exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	N/A	A 5% combined threshold for fossil fuel revenues from these sources applies. This revenue threshold is applied as part of a systematic process operated by the Investment Manager. A company which exceeds this revenue threshold may be permitted for investment where it has been assessed by the Investment Manager and determined not to cause significant environmental or social harm*.
Conventional Oil and Gas Extraction Companies that derive revenues from the conventional extraction of oil and gas are excluded. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	N/A	
Unconventional Oil and Gas Extraction Companies that derive revenues from the unconventional extraction of oil and gas (defined as oil sands and Arctic drilling) are excluded. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	10%	
Carbon-Intensive Power Generation Companies that derive revenues from the following activities are excluded: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> coal-fired power generation. oil or gas-fired power generation. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	N/A	

*Our exclusion set in consideration of PAI 4 (Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector) aims to avoid the harm that would arise from material carbon emissions caused by such activities. We consider it is appropriate to take into consideration whether the relevant company has a positive measurable effect on carbon emissions. If this is determined to substantially outweigh the harm we are seeking to prevent by restricting revenues from this activity, we may consider such an investment to pass DNSH. We would consider why the revenues were being received, their materiality and whether they were likely to continue or grow. For example, a renewable energy producer may have a minor revenue stream from legacy fossil fuel power generation that is not expected to persist, have a transition plan away from that activity and no expansion plans for fossil fuel power generation, while the vast majority of its activities are focused on generating renewable energy and the fund manager may then determine the positive climate change mitigation effect of the renewable power generation far outweighs the contribution to climate change associated with the minor fossil fuels revenue stream. For the avoidance of doubt, we do not consider other positive contributions that are unrelated to the harm to be a basis for such treatment.

Social		
Exclusion Criteria	Planet+ baseline	Sustainable baseline
Adult Entertainment Companies that derive revenues from producing, directing or publishing adult entertainment materials are excluded. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	10%	5%
Gambling Companies that derive revenues from the provision of gambling-related services are excluded. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	10%	5%
Tobacco Companies that derive revenues from activities related to the tobacco industry are excluded. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline. These test for the manufacture of tobacco products (P), their wholesale distribution (W) and for any involvement in aggregate (including retail distribution) (D).	P 5% D 10%	P+W 5% D 10%
Controversial Weapons Companies involved in anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical and biological weapons, nuclear weapons outside the non-proliferation treaty, depleted uranium and white phosphorous munitions, blinding laser, non-detectable fragment weapons are excluded. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	0%	0%
Defence and Other Weapons Companies that derive revenues from the production or sale of weapons systems, components, and support systems and services, or the manufacture and retail of civilian firearms and ammunition are excluded. For the avoidance of doubt, this does not include the provision of generic systems and services that are not weapons-specific. Where such exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out for the relevant baseline.	N/A	5%

Investment-specific exclusions

ABS		
Exclusion Criteria	Planet+ baseline	Sustainable baseline
Sector tests Sector classification is checked against the relevant baseline as set out above under "Sector and/or value based exclusions", rather than using a revenue threshold: <i>Key Counterparty</i> <i>Underlying assets</i>	No sector exposure permitted Max 10% combined exposure to the above sectors	No sector exposure permitted Max 10% combined exposure to the above sectors
Minimum ESG Score A proprietary ABS ESG Scorecard is used to assess whether assets have sufficient ESG characteristics. Securities scoring below a cut-off threshold are excluded.	Below threshold excluded	Below threshold excluded

Government Bonds		
Exclusion Criteria	Planet+ baseline	Sustainable baseline
Social tests The relevant Government is assessed for factors indicating its social progress. Lower scoring governments are excluded.	Applies	For sustainable investments, "DNSH" standard applies. For remaining investments, Planet+ baseline exclusions apply
Environmental tests Governments that pass the social tests are subject to further tests to assess their environmental credentials.	N/A	For sustainable investments, "DNSH" standard applies. For remaining investments, Planet+ baseline exclusions apply

Annex 3 - ESMA Naming Guidelines Exclusions

The exclusion criteria for the Paris-Aligned Benchmark (PAB) or Climate Transition Benchmark (CTB), as outlined below, are mandated by the ESMA Naming Guidelines¹ for funds using ESG and sustainability-related terms in their names. In addition to applying PAB exclusions where required by the ESMA Naming Guidelines, M&G Investments may opt to apply PAB exclusions to funds not mandated to do so, such as those with a social term in their name.

The exclusions listed below apply as additional features of the Fund's ESG Criteria.

Social		
Exclusion Criteria	CTB	PAB
Controversial Weapons		
(a) companies involved in any activities related to controversial weapons. ²	0%	0%
Where such additional exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out in the table.		
Tobacco		
(b) companies involved in the cultivation and production of tobacco.	0%	0%
Where such additional exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out in the table.		
UNGC and OECD		
(c) companies that benchmark administrators find in violation ³ of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) principles or the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.	Yes	Yes

Environmental		
Exclusion Criteria	CTB	PAB
Coal		
(d) companies that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, distribution or refining of hard coal and lignite.	N/A	1%
Where such additional exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out in the table.		
Oil		
(e) companies that derive revenues from the exploration, extraction, distribution or refining of oil fuels.	N/A	10%
Where such additional exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out in the table.		
Gas		
(f) companies that derive revenues from the exploration, extraction, manufacturing or distribution of gaseous fuels.	N/A	50%
Where such additional exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out in the table.		
GHG Intensive Power Generation		
(g) companies that derive revenues from electricity generation with a GHG intensity of more than 100g CO2 e/kWh. ⁴	N/A	50%
Where such additional exclusion applies, revenues are tested against the threshold set out in the table.		

1 ESMA34-472-440 Final Report Guidelines on funds' names using ESG and sustainability-related terms' published on 14 May 2024.

2 Controversial weapons means controversial weapons as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national legislation. These include companies involved in anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical and biological weapons, nuclear weapons outside the non-proliferation treaty, depleted uranium and white phosphorous munitions, blinding laser and non-detectable fragment weapons.

3 Companies 'assessed to be in violation' mean companies that are found to be in severe, repeated and/or systemic breach of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) principles or the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.

4 Due to data limitations, screening for GHG intensity directly may not be practical, therefore the Manager may monitor revenues from the sources of power generation that are known to have GHG intensity exceeding 100g/kWh (typically power generation from combustion, e.g. fossil fuels) as a proxy. Where for a particular company it can be evidenced that emissions are below 100g/kWh it is allowed as an investment even if it falls under one of monitored sources of power generation.

Application to asset-backed securities

Due to data limitations on asset-backed securities, look-through to underlying assets based on revenues is not feasible. Therefore, sector classification of underlying assets and key counterparty is used as a proxy. Based on this information, assets with exposure to excluded sectors are not permitted for investment.

Application to the use of proceeds instruments

European Green Bonds that have been issued under the European Green Bonds Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2023/2631) do not need to be assessed under the exclusions outlined in this Annex. In respect of investments in other use of proceeds instruments, the exclusions outlined in this Annex will be applied to the bond proceeds, with the exception of the UNGC and OECD exclusions, which will be applied to the bond issuer.

Annex 4 - Towards Sustainability Quality Standard

The Towards Sustainability label sets out certain requirements in its Quality Standard which the Fund seeks to comply with. This includes a number of additional sector-based exclusions which are summarised below. The precise interpretation of these exclusions is a matter for the Central Labelling Agency (CLA) and the label "Verifier" it appoints. As a result, there may be occasions where the CLA and/or Verifier agree an interpretation in respect of a specific investment that differs from the below summary. For example, an investment may be considered to have an equivalent feature to one of the summarised items which the CLA/Verifier agree can be used as an alternate despite it not being stated below. The Fund is required to comply with section 3.2 (Tobacco), 3.3 (Weapons) 3.4 (Coal), 3.5 (Unconventional Oil and Gas), 3.6 (Conventional Oil and Gas) and 3.7 (Power Generation) of the Quality Standard. A summary of each section as it applied at the date of this document is in the table below.

Environmental	
Issue	Towards Sustainability Quality Standard Criteria
	<p>Companies involved in thermal coal prospecting or exploration, extraction/mining of thermal coal, processing of thermal coal, transportation of thermal coal ("Excluded Coal Activities") are excluded unless they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have a strategy to reduce the adverse impact of its activities and to increase their contributing activities, if applicable; • currently are not involved in coal exploration, and not involved in the exploitation or development of new coal mines; • the company's absolute coal production or capacity for Excluded Coal Activities is not increasing; • meet at least one of the following criteria:
Coal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a SBTi* target set at well-below 2°C or 1.5°C, or have a SBTi 'Business Ambition for 1.5°C' commitment • Have an annual thermal coal production less than 10Mt and derive less than 5% of their revenues from Excluded Coal Activities except for transportation for which the revenue threshold is 10% • Have less than 10% of CapEx dedicated to Excluded Coal Activities and not with the objective of increasing revenue • Have more than 50% of CapEx dedicated to contributing activities <p>Companies that derive more than 25% of their revenue from bespoke products, equipment or services dedicated to enabling the execution of Excluded Coal Activities are excluded.</p>
	<p>Companies involved in oil and gas prospecting or exploration, extraction of oil or gas, processing or refining of oil or gas (except oil to chemicals), transportation of oil (not distribution) ("Excluded Oil and Gas Activities") are excluded unless they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have a strategy to reduce the adverse impact of their activities and increase their contributing activities, if applicable; • currently are not involved in exploration, and not involved in exploitation or development of new oil or gas fields; • meet at least one of the following criteria:
Conventional Oil and Gas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a SBTi* target set at well-below 2°C or 1.5°C, or have a SBTi 'Business Ambition for 1.5°C' commitment • Have an emissions intensity aligned with 1.5°C target • Derive less than 5% of their revenues from Excluded Oil and Gas Activities • Have less than 15% of CapEx dedicated to Excluded Oil and Gas Activities and not with the objective of increasing revenue • Have more than 15% of CapEx dedicated to contributing activities <p>Companies that derive more than 25% of their revenue from bespoke products, equipment or services dedicated to enabling the execution of Excluded Oil and Gas Activities are excluded.</p>
	<p>Companies involved in unconventional oil and gas prospecting or exploration, extraction of unconventional oil and gas ("Excluded Unconventional Oil and Gas Activities") are excluded unless they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have a strategy to reduce the adverse impact of its activities and to increase its contributing activities, if applicable; • currently are not involved in exploration, and not involved in exploitation or development of new unconventional oil or gas fields; • the company's absolute production of unconventional oil and gas or capacity for Excluded Unconventional Oil and Gas Activities is not increasing; • meet at least one of the following criteria:
Unconventional Oil and Gas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a SBTi* target set at well-below 2°C or 1.5°C, or have a SBTi 'Business Ambition for 1.5°C' commitment • Derive less than 5% of their revenues from Excluded Unconventional Oil and Gas Activities • Unconventional oil and gas production is less than 5% of total oil and gas production. • Have more than 50% of CapEx dedicated to contributing activities <p>Companies that derive more than 25% of their revenue from bespoke products, equipment or services dedicated to enabling the execution of Excluded Unconventional Oil and Gas Activities are excluded.</p>
	<p>Companies involved in the generation of power or heat from non-renewable energy sources ("Excluded Power Generation Activities") are excluded unless they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have a strategy to reduce the adverse impact of its activities and to increase its contributing activities, if applicable; • currently are not involved in building new coal-fired power stations (this can temporary be ignored in case of national legal obligations in the context of energy provision security);
Power Generation	

- the company's absolute production of or capacity for coal-based power is not structurally increasing and less than 5 GW (this can temporary be ignored in case of national legal obligations in the context of energy provision security);
- meet at least one of the following criteria:
 - Have a SBTi* target set at well-below 2°C or 1.5°C, or have a SBTi 'Business Ambition for 1.5°C' commitment
 - Have a carbon intensity aligned with 1.5°C target
 - Derive less than 5% of their revenues from Excluded Power Generation Activities
 - Derive more than 50% of their revenues from contributing activities
 - Have more than 50% of CapEx dedicated to contributing activities

* The Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) is a partnership between CDP, the United Nations Global Compact, World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Wide fund for Nature (WWF).

Social	
Issue	Towards Sustainability Quality Standard Criteria
Tobacco	The Fund excludes companies that (a) generate more than 5% of revenue from the production and wholesale trading of tobacco and products containing tobacco or e-cigarettes or (b) have more than 25% of their revenue from enabling such activities with bespoke products, equipment or services.
Weapons	<p>The Fund excludes companies involved in "controversial or indiscriminate weapons" and "other weapons" as follows:</p> <p>Controversial or indiscriminate weapons: The Fund may not invest in companies involved in the manufacturing, sale or import and export of anti-personnel mines, sub-munitions, inert ammunition, chemical and biological weapons, nuclear weapons outside of the non-proliferation treaty, depleted uranium and white phosphorous munitions, blinding laser and non-detectable fragment weapons. A 0% revenue threshold applies.</p> <p>Other weapons: The Fund excludes companies that (a) generate more than 5% of revenue from defence and 'other' weapons; or that (b) have more than 25% of their revenue from enabling such activities with bespoke products, equipment or services.</p>

Qualitative ESG Considerations

We will prioritise engagement on factors we identify as materially affecting an issuer's ability to deliver long-term sustainable performance and value to our clients. This enables us to manage risks effectively and improve ESG standards across the fund's portfolio.

The following considerations form part of our qualitative security selection investment process:

Qualitative ESG considerations		
Issue	Rationale	Criteria
Biodiversity	Biodiversity and the ecosystem services it provides are fundamental to human well-being, a prosperous society and a healthy planet. However, global biodiversity is declining rapidly due to human activities including land-use change, pollution and climate change as well as increasing pressure on natural resources on account of population growth. Biodiversity loss and ecosystem collapse are now recognised as one of the top global risks in terms of likelihood and impact, affecting all geographies, sectors, economies and societies.	<p>We recognise biodiversity loss as a material financial risk. Where significant, as part of our investment analysis, we include the assessment of sector-specific biodiversity loss indicators to evaluate ESG risks and opportunities and inform our decision making. Impact on biodiversity is complex and can be direct, such as through mining, agriculture or forestry, or in supply chains of our investments, for instance in chemicals or consumer goods.</p> <p>Similar to climate, we believe stewardship to reach sustainable use of our natural resources is often the best approach. We engage with our investees to encourage the adoption of credible sustainability plans with targets for impact reduction on biodiversity and sustainable use of natural capital, including the request for environmental metrics disclosure and evidencing of remedial actions taken to support our informed investment decision making. This can be bilateral or through collective action groups such as our membership in Nature Action 100+.</p> <p>Unsustainable deforestation is one of the largest negative impacts on biodiversity and we actively engage to minimise deforestation and integrate company approaches to this vital area into our investment analysis.</p> <p>Further information on our approach to natural capital can be found here: https://www.mandg.com/sustainability/climate-change#natural-capital-module</p>
Water use	Water underpins planetary sustainability and is essential to secure food, livelihoods, a healthy climate and environment as well as to achieve the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Global demand for	As a critical resource water usage is often a material investment factor. We further recognise that it can lead to systemic issues and links to other areas such as climate

	the finite amount of global freshwater is increasing with population growth, whilst climate change and unsustainable production and consumption processes are reducing the amount and quality of available freshwater. Indeed, less than 1.5% of the earth's water resources are available for human use.	change and biodiversity. Accordingly, water risks and the quality of water governance associated with our investments may be considered materially impacting on returns in our investment analysis including efforts to recycle and reduce usage.
Sovereign Issuers	Countries that perpetrate, violate and infringe international human rights standards do not support socially responsible investment practices.	As an investor, we are politically neutral. We prohibit any activity or investment that is against the law in any of the countries in which we operate across our entire group. We are committed to working with our stakeholders, including our investees, towards eradicating slavery, human trafficking, child labour and other human rights abuses. Therefore, we take politics into consideration where they may impact human rights, the rule of law, fairness and equality and where local and/or geopolitical risk impacts the risk/return profile of an investment through our proprietary sovereign framework. Where applicable the fund excludes sovereign investment in line with the Towards Sustainability standards.
Taxation	Taxes are essential to ensure consistent funding and provision of public services including healthcare, education and infrastructure. As such, the enforcement and transparency of appropriate payment of corporate taxes has a direct link to people's quality of life.	We expect firms to pay the legal amount of taxes due in line with the spirit of the law pertaining to the jurisdictions in which they operate. We strive to ensure that companies in our investment universe adhere to responsible and transparent corporate tax practices. Where necessary, we request disclosure and evidence of compliance throughout diligence, pre-trade and the holding period. As a company, we also abide by the M&G Plc Tax Strategy, which is available via the following link: https://www.mandg.com/who-we-are/policies
Death penalty	The death penalty is a controversial issue as penal execution remains legal in many countries. It is associated with human rights violations, with particular regard to the right to life and the right to live free from torture or cruel or degrading treatment and punishment. Further, it is often applied within discriminatory and distorted judicial systems, and carries the inherent risk of executing an innocent person.	As investors, we are politically neutral. However, we take politics into account where they may impact human rights, the rule of law, fairness and equality. Investments in companies or countries associated with death penalty participation are considered within our wider assessment and analysis of their impact on human rights. Unless association with death penalty presents a client-mandated exclusion criteria, it may not implicitly constitute a restriction on the investment universe.
Pollution & waste	Pollution and waste, including contamination of air, land and water, are one of the main drivers of climate change and biodiversity loss. At the current rate of consumption, humanity would require 1.7 Earths to provide the resources we need and to absorb our waste. There is an urgent need for global, systemic change toward sustainable production and consumption globally in order to responsibly manage and reduce excessive levels of pollution and waste in a fair and equitable way.	M&G as a responsible long-term investment manager understands the need for sustainable production and consumption methods globally which share associated costs and benefits fairly (please see the Just Transition statement: mgplc-just-transition-position-april-24.pdf (mandg.com)). A divestment-first approach of polluting companies is unlikely to achieve the sustainable economic transition the world requires. Instead, we actively support companies in their transformation to green or circular business models through engagement and capital allocation, and, where appropriate, encourage the disclosure of environmental metrics and ambitious targets to reduce any negative impacts. This includes single use plastics, which we encourage minimal use of where possible at our investee companies and support recycling efforts alongside investment in solutions.
Gender & diversity	We believe that consideration of gender and diversity in investment decision-making is critical to long-term sustainability, growth and innovation. Diversity encompasses many axes, and it is critical not to reduce the concept to a number of representation targets solely along gender and ethnicity lines. We believe in the fundamental principle of equality of opportunity for all.	The policies and stated objectives instituted to support diversity and inclusion as well as evidence of action are qualitatively considered prior to investment. As appropriate, we directly engage with our investee management teams on diversity and inclusion practices and, where we have an equity vote, execute the right to vote in line with our expectations to the company's diversity and inclusion standards. Relevant cultural and regulatory contexts in local labour markets are evaluated and considered in investment decisions as appropriate. As a Group priority, diversity is considered a main theme for assessment across our investments. Our voting standards related to diversity can be found under stewardship in our voting policy here: https://

Forward contracts on agricultural commodities

Speculating on agricultural commodities prices through forward contracts can lead to high price volatility in the underlying produce. This has the potential to greatly diminish the pay of those working in the industry who are very vulnerable to price changes and highly dependent on the income received from these goods. Moreover, investing in agricultural forwards, as opposed to the companies themselves, evades the responsibility of ensuring those working in the industry have fair and humane working conditions.

The fund does not invest in forward contracts on agricultural commodities.

Please note that the award of the Towards Sustainability label does not imply that this fund meets your own sustainability objectives, nor that the label complies with future national or European regulations. For more information, including a full copy of the Quality Standard, please visit: <https://towardssustainability.be/>. Additionally, the Towards Sustainability label is valid for a limited period of time and subject to reassessment.